REMARKS

The Final Office Action mailed May 3, 2007 and references cited therein have been

reviewed. Applicants have canceled claims 23-41 and 70, and amended claim 42. Applicant noted

that claims 55-57 and 70 were objected to, but would be allowable if placed in independent form.

Applicant has amended claim 42 to include the limitation of claim 70. Applicant submits that claim

42 and all the claims dependent therefrom are in allowable form.

The Examiner objected to claims 23-41 as being directed to a non-elected invention. These

claims have been canceled by this amendment.

Claims 42-45, 59 and 68 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Reed.

Claims 42-45, 48, 50 and 59 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed.

Claims 52, 53 and 62 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed. As

mentioned above, claim 42 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 70. As such,

Applicant submits that the rejections under Sections 102 and 103 are moot in view of the

amendment.

Applicants submit the claims presently pending in the above-identified patent application are

in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY SHARPÉ LLP

BRIAN E. TURUNG

Reg. No. 35,394

1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579

Telephone: (216) 861-5582

Facsimile: (216) 241-1666

i hereby certify that this correspondence is boing dopos?

with the United States Postal Service as first class mai.

an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Page 9 of 9